# The Art Advisory Panel Of the Commissioner Of Internal Revenue

Annual Summary Report for Fiscal Year 2014 (Closed meeting activity)

### Overview

Created in 1968, the Art Advisory Panel of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (the Panel) provides advice and makes recommendations to the Art Appraisal Services (AAS) unit in the Office of Appeals for the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), the Panel helps the IRS review and evaluate the acceptability of tangible personal property appraisals taxpayers submit to support the fair market value claimed on the wide range of works of art involved in income, estate, and gift tax returns.

When a tax return selected for audit includes an appraisal of a single work of art or cultural property valued at \$50,000 or more, the IRS examining agent or appeals officer must refer the case to AAS for possible referral to the Panel, unless a specific exception exists. The AAS staff supports and coordinates the Panel meetings, while the AAS appraisers independently review taxpayers' appraisals for art works not referred to the Panel.

The Panel provides essential information to help foster voluntary compliance. The information and recommendations play an important role in the IRS's efforts to cost-effectively address the potentially high abuse area of art valuation. The panelists provide information, advice, and insight into the world of art which cannot be obtained effectively from within the IRS. The Panel does not duplicate work performed in the IRS. The AAS appraisers review appraisals by researching publicly available information; the Panel provides additional knowledge of private sales based on their personal experience as dealers, scholars, and museum curators, and from information obtained from other members of their relatively small industry. The panelists' knowledge is particularly beneficial when questions exist about the authenticity or condition of works of art.

Art Appraisal Services takes steps to ensure objectivity and taxpayer privacy. Information provided to the panelists does not include the taxpayer's name, the type of tax, the tax consequences of any adjustments to the value, or who did the appraisal. To minimize the possibility that panelists recognize a taxpayer's entire collection, the art works are usually discussed in alphabetical order by artist or, in the case of decorative art, by object type. If there is a conflict of interest with a panelist and a work of art under review, the panelist does not participate in the discussion and is excused from that portion of the meeting.

Before Panel meetings, AAS appraisers send photographs and written materials to the panelists about the works of art under review. The materials include information from the taxpayer's appraisal, such as size, medium, physical condition, provenance, any comparable sales, and appraised value, and the AAS appraiser's own research, including available information on public and private sales of relevant art work.

During their meetings, the panelists review the information provided, along with the research and findings of both the panelists and AAS appraisers. After discussing each

item individually, the panel reaches consensus on its value. Panel discussions are lively and serious. Despite the different perspectives of dealers, museum curators, and scholars, substantial disagreements are rare. When disagreements happen, they generally result from insufficient information. In these cases, the panelists may recommend additional research, such as inspecting the property or consulting with additional experts, before making a recommendation as to value. Once the AAS appraiser completes the additional work, the item may be brought up for review at a subsequent Panel meeting.

The Panel's recommendations are advisory. The AAS staff reviews all of the Panel's recommendations, which become the position of the IRS only with AAS concurrence. In Fiscal Year 2014, AAS adopted in full 90% of the Panel's recommendations and adopted the rest in part.

The AAS staff provides written reports or memos to the requesting IRS office, with a copy for the taxpayer, outlining the Panel's recommendations for any adjustments to fair market value with all supporting evidence.

Taxpayers may request reconsideration of an adjusted claimed value only if they provide new information or probative evidence. The AAS staff may submit such information to the Panel for reconsideration at a subsequent meeting.

# **Panel Leadership**

The Director, Art Appraisal Services serves as the Panel Chair and Designated Federal Officer (DFO) for FACA purposes.

### **Panel Sub-Committees**

The DFO has the authority to create subcommittees or workgroups. Subcommittees may be established for any purpose consistent with the Panel's charter, and are comprised of Panel members. There are currently two subcommittees: the Fine Arts Panel, which reviews items such as paintings, sculpture, watercolors, prints, and drawings; and the Decorative Arts Panel, which reviews items such as antique furniture, decorative art, ceramics, textiles, carpets, and silver.

### **Meetings**

The Panel generally meets once or twice a year in each subcommittee area. Panel meetings are closed to the public since all portions of the meetings concern matters that are exempted from disclosure under the provisions of section 552b(c)(3), (4), (6) and (7) of Title 5 of the U.S. Code. This determination, which is consistent with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2), is necessary to protect the confidentiality of tax returns and return information as required by Internal Revenue Code § 6103.

The meetings held during this reporting period included:

Type	Date	Location
Fine Arts	April 16, 2014	New York, NY
Fine Arts	September 11, 2014	Washington, DC

The Decorative Arts Panel did not meet in Fiscal Year 2014.

# **Summary of Panel Recommendations**

During Fiscal Year 2014, the Panel reviewed 315 items with an aggregate taxpayer valuation of \$250,800,500 on 54 taxpayer cases under examination. The average claimed value of a charitable contribution item was \$634,000; the average claimed value for an estate and gift item was \$799,341.

The Panel recommended accepting 38 percent and adjusting 62 percent of the appraisals it reviewed. On the adjusted items, the Panel recommended total net adjustments of \$55,706,000 in estate and gift tax appraisals, a 23 percent increase. Net adjustments for charitable contributions totaled \$2,077,000, a 55 percent reduction.

The Panel reconsidered seven items in three taxpayer cases originally valued at \$13,235,000 by the taxpayers and \$18,300,000 by the Panel. After reviewing the additional information, the Panel revised their recommendations to \$17,300,000. The items from these three taxpayer cases are not included in the information above or that follows.

# **Comprehensive Recommendations Report**

Type of Tax	Number of items	T/P Claimed Value	Type of Adjustment	Panel Recommendation	Net Change (Panel less Claimed Value)
Estate	70	\$32,275,000	No Change	\$32,275,000	\$0
	58	\$36,257,000	Increase	\$66,839,000	\$30,582,000
	31	\$18,207,000	Decrease	\$10,308,500	(\$7,898,500)
Gift	50	\$42,935,000	No Change	\$42,935,000	\$0
	49	\$66,262,500	Increase	\$113,730,000	\$47,467,500
	51	\$51,060,000	Decrease	\$36,615,000	(\$14,445,000)
Charitable Contribution	6	\$3,804,000	ALL	\$1,727,000	(\$2,077,000)
Totals	315	\$250,800,500		\$304,429,500	\$53,629,000
Items Adjusted	194				\$53,629,000

# Art Advisory Panel of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue 2014

Ms. Stephanie Barron Senior Curator of Modern Art

Los Angeles County Museum of Art

Los Angeles, CA

Mr. Douglas Baxter\* President

The Pace Gallery New York, NY

Mr. Leon Dalva Dalva Brothers, Inc.

New York, NY

Ms. Alice Duncan Director

Gerald Peters Gallery

New York, NY

Mr. Michael Findlay Director

Acquavella Galleries, Inc.

New York, NY

Mr. Brock Jobe Professor of American Decorative Arts

Winterthur Museum

Winterthur, DE

Mr. Christian Jussel Independent Scholar/Art Adviser

New York, NY

Ms. Rebecca Lawton Curator of Paintings and Sculpture

Amon Carter Museum

Fort Worth, TX

Ms. Barbara Mathes Gallery

New York, NY

New York, NY

Ms. Susan Menconi Partner

Menconi & Schoelkopf Fine Art

New York, NY

Mr. Howard Rehs Director

Rehs Galleries, Inc. New York, NY

Mr. James L. Reinish Principal

James Reinish & Associates, Inc.

New York, NY

Mr. Joseph Rishel The Gisela and Dennis Alter Senior

Curator of European Painting before 1900, and John G Johnson Collection

Philadelphia Museum of Art

Philadelphia, PA

Dr. Andrew Robison Mellon Senior Curator of Prints and

Drawings

National Gallery of Art

Washington, DC

Mr. Louis Stern Louis Stern Fine Arts Inc.

Los Angeles, CA

Dr. Scott Schaefer\*\* Senior Curator of Paintings

J. Paul Getty Museum

Los Angeles, CA

Mr. David Tunick President

David Tunick, Inc. New York, NY

<sup>\*</sup> Resigned in January 2015

<sup>\*\*</sup> Changed employers and subsequently resigned in January 2015